Summer Experiment 2013 - CCFP Survey

Name (optional):
Date:

1. Using the scale 4=Excellent, 3=Good, 2=Fair, and 1=Poor, please rate the overall value of the following high-resolution model data. If you did not evaluate a product please don't mark anything.

High Resolution Rapid Refresh (HRRR)	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
NSSL-WRF	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
NAM-Nest	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
HIRES-ARW	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
HIRES-NMM	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
RAP	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
NAM	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
Large Scale Convective Storm Likelihood – HRRR	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
Large Scale Convective Storm Likelihood – AFWA	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**

Please provide any comments on the data sets listed above in the box below.

Please provide any comments or suggestions for new data sets not listed above that would be helpful.

Please make any comments regarding the "Thinned" display of the high-resolution models

If you used any of the GOES-R products (SRSOR, simulated imagery, convective initiation, cloud properties, etc.) please fill out the separate GOES-R survey.

2. Using the scale 4=Excellent, 3=Good, 2=Fair, and 1=Poor, please rate the overall value of the following ensemble forecast guidance. If you did not evaluate a product please don't mark anything.

AFWA Probabilities	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
SREF (16km) Probabilities	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
SREF (40km) Probabilities	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
SSEO	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**

3. Using the scale 4=Excellent, 3=Good, 2=Fair, and 1=Poor, please rate the following data sets on their usefulness when assessing echo tops. If you did not evaluate a product please don't mark anything.

AFWA Probabilities	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
SREF (16km) Probabilities	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
SREF (40km) Probabilities	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
NAM-Nest	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
NSSL-WRF	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
HRRR	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**

4. Using the scale 4=Excellent, 3=Good, 2=Fair, and 1=Poor, please rate the following data sets on their usefulness when assessing areal coverage. If you did not evaluate a product please don't mark anything.

AFWA Probabilities	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
SREF (16km) Probabilities	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
SREF (40km) Probabilities	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
NAM-Nest	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
NSSL-WRF	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
HRRR	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
NAM	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
NAM-KF	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**

5. Using the scale 4=Excellent, 3=Good, 2=Fair, and 1=Poor, please rate the following data sets on their usefulness when assessing convective mode. If you did not evaluate a product please don't mark anything.

AFWA Probabilities	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
SREF (16km) Probabilities	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
SREF (40km) Probabilities	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
NAM-Nest	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
NSSL-WRF	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
HRRR	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
NAM	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**
NAM-KF	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1**

6. Did you use text tags to describe the mode, evolution, propagation, or cessation of convection? **Yes / No**
   If you answered Yes, what words did you use to describe the convection?

7. With the various numerical model data and decision support tools, were you able to describe aviation impacts? **Yes / No**
   If you answered Yes, what data sets and/or decision support tools were most helpful?

8. Did you collaborate with the Convective SIGMET, NAM, or situational desk? **Yes / No**
   If you answered Yes, please comment on the usefulness of the collaboration.